A view from the UK: predictions, foresight or policy choices - and the Global Food and Farming Futures Project

Erik Millstone

SPRU – University of Sussex

e.p.millstone@sussex.ac.uk



Prologue

What is the nature of our discourse here? Is it:

• predictions

• foresight or

• policy choices?



In 2008 the FAO estimated that in aggregate the world's total production of cereals was ~2,285,000 million tonnes. The FAO also estimated the world's population in 2008 at ~6.7 billion.

To a good first approximation in 2008 the average *per capita* food availability was ~340kg/cap/year, or ~1kg/person/day.



If those cereals had been uniformly distributed across all of humanity they would have been sufficient to support healthy lives for all who were not otherwise unwell. One kilogramme of cereals is sufficient to provide more than 2,300 Cals/day/cap.

There are post-harvest losses of cereals, but people also eat fruits, vegetables, nuts, fish, meat and dairy products.



Food insecurity: a technical or social problem? Consequently, prevailing patterns of food insecurity are not a consequence of a net scarcity, but of inequity in access and affordability. Chronic under-nutrition is more a socio-econo9mic problem than technological one.

Moreover, technological changes (esp. without socio-economic changes) can amplify inequalities and aggravate hunger, even when they are 'successful'.



The Green Revolution showed that technologies can be technically successful but a socio-economic failure, by amplifying inequalities. More food was produced in eg Punjab, but more people suffered chronic hunger, because the rich got richer and the poor got poorer. In Kerala and Taiwan, there was a more beneficial outcome.



USW heat Price



Source: USDA [via S Maxwell & S Wiggins; & T Lang]



Technological innovation and (in)stability?

Figure B1 Wheat yields





Food Matters

Towards a Strategy for the 21st Century

The Strategy Unit July 2008

> Making government work better



Key questions to 2030*



*Slide reproduced from 'The Perfect Storm?' presentation by Professor John Beddington, June 2009



John Beddington asked:

- Can future populations be fed equitably, healthily and sustainably?
- Can we cope with future demands on water? Can we provide enough energy to supply the growing population coming out of poverty? Can we do this whilst mitigating and adapting to climate change?
- How does science and engineering help in preventing and adapting to this 'perfect storm' scenario?



Foresight Project: Food and Farming Future

How can a global population of 9 billion people all be fed healthily and sustainably? Looks forward to 2050: includes the whole food system terrestrial and aquatic food sustainability issues very important global outlook



In this project food security is defined as:

sufficiency,
safety,
sustainability
and equity,

at a time of rapid economic, social and environmental and technological change.









Regional studies



In October 2009, the Royal Society introduced the concept of 'sustainable intensification' which may well re-emerge in the conclusions of the Foresight project.







See: http://royalsociety.org/Reapingthebenefits/



What to expect?

Modest strategic shift from unsustainable pathway to another that may be more robust and resilient to shocks and stresses.

EM & STEPS approach is different from F⁴ and official UK and UK policies, we assume uncertainties, non-linearities, and food & ag. systems are located in multi-causal and multifunctional chains.





Policy Research

The STEPS Centre



Core concern: Identifying and building pathways to sustainability in complex, dynamic, social-ecological-technological systems
 Three themes: dynamics, governance, designs
 Three domains: agriculture and food; health and disease; water and sanitation – and their interactions
 An interdisciplinary approach: social and natural sciences









Science and Technology Policy Research

Multicriteria Mapping (MCM): The Interview Process







Typology of Pathways



	Low Maize	High Maize
	1 – Alternative dryland staples for subsistence	3 – local improvement of local maize
Low-	2 – Alternative dryland staples for market	
External Input	4 – Assisted seed multiplication of alternative dryland staples	5 – Assisted seed multiplication of maize
High- External Input	 6 – Individual high-value crop commercialization 7 – Group-based high-value crop commercialization 	 8 – Commercial delivery of new DT maize varieties 9 – Public delivery of new DT maize varieties



Multicriteria Mapping (MCM): The Interview Process







Alternative staples for subsistence Alternative staples for market Local improvement of local maize seed sisted seed multiplication (alternative crops) Assisted seed multiplication (maize) idividual high-value crop commercialization ip-based high-value crop commercialization Commercial delivery of new maize varieties Public delivery of new maize varieties Alternative staples for subsistence Alternative staples for market Local improvement of local maize seed sisted seed multiplication (alternative crops) Assisted seed multiplication (maize) ndividual high-value crop commercialization up-based high-value crop commercialization Commercial delivery of new maize varieties Public delivery of new maize varieties Alternative staples for subsistence Alternative staples for market Local improvement of local maize seed sisted seed multiplication (alternative crops) Assisted seed multiplication (maize) ndividual high-value crop commercialization ip-based high-value crop commercialization Commercial delivery of new maize varieties Public delivery of new maize varieties

Performance Rankings



Multicriteria Mapping (MCM): The Interview Process - 2



Performance Rankings



Pathways in maize:

Sakai farmer performance rankings show a preference for local maize,



Policy Research

Alternative staples for subsistence Alternative staples for market Local improvement of local maize seed Assisted seed multiplication (alternative crops) Assisted seed multiplication (maize) Individual high-value crop commercialization Group-based high-value crop commercialization Commercial delivery of new maize varieties Public delivery of new maize varieties Alternative staples for subsistence Alternative staples for market Local improvement of local maize seed Assisted seed multiplication (alternative crops) Assisted seed multiplication (maize) Individual high-value crop commercialization Group-based high-value crop commercialization Commercial delivery of new maize varieties Public delivery of new maize varieties Alternative staples for subsistence Alternative staples for market Local improvement of local maize seed Assisted seed multiplication (alternative crops) Assisted seed multiplication (maize) Individual high-value crop commercialization Group-based high-value crop commercialization Commercial delivery of new maize varieties Public delivery of new maize varieties





Pathways out of maize (1) Orphans or siblings? Alternative dryland staple crops

- 'Traditional' crops, new pathways
- Climate change as an opportunity: time to re-think 'orphan crops'
- Focus on markets, not taste preferences
- Challenges: market barriers and opportunities







Pathways out of maize (2) Getting the high value without the high risk? Horticultural crops

- New crops, traditional constraints (access to water, cost of inputs, post harvest storage/markets)
- Much potential, much uncertainty
- Challenges reducing the risk:
 Drought tolerant trees (mango, citrus)?
 Cooperate to compete (group-based)?
 Water the deal breaker?







Pathways within maize Living in parallel words? Bridging informal and formal seed systems

- Informal seed systems: not just a last resort
- Building on the informal? Assisted seed multiplication and storage
- Farmer seed selectors: vital link in the chain
- Challenges: quality control and continuity of supply



Thank you for giving me the opportunity to contribute to this meeting.

